Meniscus Ramp Lesion
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Abstract

Ramp Lesions of the Medial Meniscus (MM) are associated with 9 to 17% of ACL Tears and are seldom recognized on preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans. They also often remain undiagnosed when viewing from the standard anterior compartment arthroscopic portals. Improved visualization is the key to achieving good meniscal repair results as it improves diagnosis of longitudinal tears in posterior horn MM, safeguards better debridement prior to repair and ensures good approximation of the torn ends under vision. A systematic posteromedial exploration allows discovery of and debridement of the hidden MM lesion and repair with a suture hook device is associated with low morbidity and must be undertaken whenever possible.
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Introduction

Lesions of the posterior horn of medial meniscus (PHMM) are very often associated with an ACL rupture (16,32,49). Certain specific lesions of the Medial Meniscus (MM) such as meniscosynovial or meniscocapsular tears and meniscotibial ligament lesions are associated with 9 to 17% of ACL Tears (8,21) and are seldom recognized on preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans (8,38). They also often remain undiagnosed when viewing from the standard anterior compartment arthroscopic portals including a probing. They were named in the 1980s by Strobel et al (42) as “Ramp” lesions of the meniscus and have drawn a lot of attention over the past few years (3,8,21,38,42). The aim of this article will be to write a narrative review of this Ramp meniscal lesion.

History

Hamberg et al (15) first described “a peripheral vertical rupture in the posterior horn of the medial or lateral meniscus with an intact body” in 1983. They repaired these lesions through a postero-medial vertical arthrotomy; with the belief that the capillary blood supply from the capsule aids healing of the meniscus. They reported promising results (84% healing) in old and new lesions alike, thus providing some valuable insight into the philosophy of meniscal conservation.

Morgan et al (25) in 1991 described the first arthroscopic vertical suture of the PHMM using Polydioxanone (PDS) sutures with an outside-to-inside technique. They reported a 16% failure rate occurring in patients with a concurrent ACL injury. They proposed that the rotation axis of the knee joint was altered in an ACL deficit knee thus placing excessive loads on the posterior horn of the medial meniscus. The kinematics of the posterior horn of the medial meniscus in the ACL deficient knee was therefore not conducive to meniscal healing after repair despite a peripheral blood supply. They also noted that, when combined with an ACL reconstruction, peripheral meniscal repair healing rates improved and approached those obtained in an ACL intact knee (25).

Ahn et al (5) in 2004 described the first clinical series of an arthroscopic all-inside suture technique for tears in posterior horn of medial meniscus. Using a suture hook through 2-posteromedial portals, PHMM tears were repaired with concurrent reconstruction of the ACL. They concluded that the arthroscopic all-inside vertical suture using a hook resulted in a high healing rate even in large and complex vertical tears.

Seil et al (38) in 2009 highlighted the indications for meniscal repairs based on the presence of associated ligamentous injuries and morphology of the lesion. They advocated that Meniscal repairs be “ideally” carried out in:
1. Young patients (< 40 years)
2. No associated joint degeneration.
3. Vertical lesions in the peripheral third of the meniscus (3mm of the meniscosynovial junction) (4) and in conjunction with an ACL reconstruction.
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4. Significantly displaced bucket-handle tear or an MMPH tear with vertical step off (5).

**Epidemiology**

The prevalence for a meniscal lesion with an ACL tear has been reported between 47% to 61% (13,14). In 2010, S. Bollen et al (8) reported menisco-capsular lesions in 9.3% of their prospective series of 183 ACL reconstructions whereas Liu et al (21) described a prevalence of 16.6% in a series of 868 consecutive ACL reconstructions. In our series (40) on ACL deficient knees, a meniscal tear was identified in 125 out of the 302 patients. Following a systematic algorithm for exploration of the knee joint (Figure 1), we found that; 75 (60%) medial meniscal body lesions were diagnosed through a standard anterior portal exploration, 29 (23.2%) ramp lesions were diagnosed during exploration of the posteromedial compartment, and 21 (16.8%) were discovered by probing the tear through a posteromedial portal and after minimal debridement of a superficial soft tissue layer with a motorized shaver. All-in-all, 42% (21/50) of the lesions diagnosed via inspecting the posterior compartment appeared only after superficial soft tissue debridement and were classified as “hidden lesions.”

An ACL injury with an age at presentation above 30 years, male sex and a delay between injury and surgery are considered risk factors for concomitant meniscal lesions (8).

**Biomechanical Implication on ACL**

The importance of the meniscus in stabilizing the knee joint in chronically ACL-deficient knees has been validated by multiple studies (9, 39). A Peripheral posterior horn tear is caused by the recurrent trauma sustained by the Medial Meniscus, which acts as a "bumper" in ACL-deficient knees (2). In addition, contraction of the semimembranosus at its insertion along the posteromedial capsule may stress the peripheral meniscus, resulting in meniscocapsular tearing (17). This could occur at the time of injury or during subsequent instability episodes in the subacute or chronic situation (43). A capsular injury might also occur during the so-called medial contrecoup injury (18) after subluxation of the lateral tibial plateau and during subsequent reduction of the tibia (41).

A longitudinal tear of the PHMM in ACL-deficient knees increases the anterior translation of the tibia and a repair of this lesion reduces anteroposterior tibial translation significantly at most flexion angles (17) and most prominently at 30 degrees of flexion (12, 30). Peltier et al (30) observed that the PHMM was stabilised by the meniscotibial ligament posteriorly, which in turn inserted onto the posterior aspect of the proximal tibia. The capsule of the knee joint inserts more distally on this posterior surface. The posterior capsule hence lacks insertion onto...
the posterior aspect of the PHMM. Detachment of the ligament therefore results in an abnormal mobility of the entire PHMM, producing rotational instability. The authors observed that the division of the menisco-tibial ligament resulted in a statistically significant increase in internal tibial rotation. Such lesions occur either in the mid-substance (repairable) or as a bony avulsion (irreparable). Stephen et al (41) reported that the anterior tibial translation and external rotation were both significantly increased when compared with the ACL-deficient knee after posterior meniscocapsular sectioning and these parameters were not restored after ACL reconstruction alone but were restored with ACL reconstruction combined with posterior meniscocapsular repair.

Classification [46] (Figure. 2)
We have proposed a classification for ramp lesions which is as follows:
Type 1: Ramp lesions. Very peripherally located in the synovial sheath. Mobility at probing is very low. (B)
Type 2: Partial superior lesions. It is stable and can be diagnosed only by trans-notch approach. Mobility at probing is low. (C)
Type 3: Partial inferior or hidden lesions. It is not visible with the trans-notch approach, but it may be suspected in case of mobility at probing, which is high. (D)
Type 4: Complete tear in the red-red zone.

Mobility at probing is very high. (E)

Type 5: Double tear.

Surgical Technique (46)
With the patient supine on the operating table, a tourniquet is placed high on the thigh, and the knee placed at 90º of flexion with a foot support to allow full range of knee motion.

Using standard arthroscopy portals, high lateral as viewing and medial portal for instrumentation, articular inspection is performed and we engage a probe in the posterior segment of the meniscus and force an anterior excursion of the meniscus. If the meniscus subluxates under the condyle, it is an indicator for instability and an indirect sign of a ramp lesion. Direct visualization of the posteromedial compartment is mandated to diagnose and repair these lesions. Even if the meniscus appears stable on probing, a systematic exploration of the posterior segment must be performed using the protocol in (Figure. 1)

Through a Guillquist maneuver, the arthroscope in the anterolateral portal is advanced in the triangle formed by the medial femoral condyle, the posterior cruciate ligament, and the tibial spines. With valgus force applied initially in flexion followed by knee extension, the arthroscope is pass through the space at the condyle border of the medial femoral condyle. Internal rotation applied to the tibia further enhances visualization; this causes subluxation of the posterior tibial plateau causing a posterior translation of the middle third segment. Almost two-thirds of peripheral lesions can be diagnosed with this maneuver.

Tears of the posterior segment must be approached posteromedially. The posteromedial portal is placed superior to the hamstring tendons and posterior to the medial joint line, also trans illumination allows observation of the great saphenous vein, that is in close relation to the infrapatellar branch of the internal saphenous nerve, that must be avoided.

The needle is introduced from outside to inside, in the direction of the lesion. The portal is prepared with a number 11 blade scalpel under arthroscopic control. The all-inside suture is accomplished with or without a working cannula, depending on the surgeons choice. Using a shaver the lesion is debrided and the intervening fibrous tissue is excised. Suturing is carried out using a 25º curved hook (Suture Lasso, Arthrex): a left curved hook is used for a right knee and vice versa.

The curved hook is loaded with a no. 2 non-absorbable braided composite suture (Fiberwire, Arthrex) or a absorbable no.1 PDS introduced through the posteromedial portal. The curved hook must penetrate the peripheral wall of the MM, and then the inner wall of the MM. The free end of the

Table 1: Comparison of trends and assessment scores between different studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comaprison of objective scores</th>
<th>IKDC</th>
<th>Tegner activity scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre op</td>
<td>Post op</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thaunat et al (46)</td>
<td>63.8 ± 13.5</td>
<td>85.7 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pujol et al (33)</td>
<td>57.2 ±14.4</td>
<td>78.8 ± 15.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HSS score</th>
<th>Lysholm score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre op</td>
<td>Post op</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahn et al (4)</td>
<td>76.7 ± 68.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Meniscal Devices

Inside-out

- Consists of percutaneous suture by needle. After passing from within to outside the joint, the wires are sutured to the knee capsule.
- Using a curved hook with a postero-lateral or postero-medial arthroscopic approach. The wires are sutured using a knot pusher.

Outside-in

- 1. Anterior or middle third tears of the meniscus as 2. Meniscal allograft insertion. 3. Lateral meniscus tears.
- 1. Meniscosynovial lesions of the 2. Capsular attachment of posterior horns hard to repair by other techniques.
- 1. Posterior horn meniscal tears 2. Complex Tears with greater length and instability along with sutures

All-inside

- 1. Suture materials are significantly less expensive. 2. Can avoid various potential complications associated with meniscal fixation devices, such as chondral damage, protrusion or
- Eliminate the need for an additional incision, reduce the risk of neurovascular injury, and simplify the procedure.

Table 2: Comparison between the different surgical techniques for meniscal repair

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Inside-out</th>
<th>Outside-in</th>
<th>All-inside</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instrumentation</td>
<td>Long cannulae to pass long needles through the meniscal tissue. Wire attached to needle is sutured to the extra-articular part of the capsule.</td>
<td>Consists of percutaneous suture by needle. After passing from within to outside the joint, the wires are sutured to the knee capsule.</td>
<td>Using a curved hook with a postero-lateral or postero-medial arthroscopic approach. The wires are sutured using a knot pusher.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indications</td>
<td>Posterior horn lesions.</td>
<td>1. Anterior or middle third tears of the meniscus as 2. Meniscal allograft insertion. 3. Lateral meniscus tears.</td>
<td>1. Meniscosynovial lesions of the 2. Capsular attachment of posterior horns hard to repair by other techniques. 1. Posterior horn meniscal tears 2. Complex Tears with greater length and instability along with sutures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>More consistent suture placement perpendicular to the tear.</td>
<td>1. This is a simple and low-cost technique. 2. Reduce the risk of neurovascular complications in comparison to inside-out</td>
<td>1. Suture materials are significantly less expensive. 2. Can avoid various potential complications associated with meniscal fixation devices, such as chondral damage, protrusion or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
<td>Exiting needles require a posterolateral or posteromedial approach. There is an increased risk of iatrogenic damage to the saphenous nerve posteromedially and the peroneal nerve posterolaterally and popliteal vessels and nerve requires a larger incision and carries the risk of neurovascular complications (1.2% to 2.5%).</td>
<td>Cannot be used for posterior horn tears</td>
<td>1. Inadequate strength of fixation in ramp lesions. 2. Most meniscal fixators cannot facilitate meniscal repair in vertical mattress fashion. 3. Blind deployment of the meniscal repair devices, can cause complications like migration or breakage of the implant and iatrogenic cartilage damage. Fewer devices also provide less</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Complications

The main complications may be related to the postero-medial portal placement. Damage to the infra-patellar branch of the saphenous nerve due to a postero-medial portal has been reported owing to the proximity of the nerve to the portal site causing hypoesthesia or paresthesia below the patella (27). Having said that, hypoesthesia resulting from harvesting the Semi-tendinous and Gracilis tendons in a concomitant ACL reconstruction may be responsible for 74% of the times (35). Transient hypoesthesia of the Sartorial branch of the saphenous nerve has also been reported probably due to an access portal.
situated too anteriorly (24). McGinnis et al (23) studied the neurovascular safety zone for the posteromedial access and recommended a portal through the posterior soft spot formed by the medial head of the gastrocnemius, the tendon of the semimembranosus and the medial collateral ligament at the posterior aspect of the joint line for creation of the posteromedial portal. Hemarthrosis due to the long saphenous vein injury may occur in the posteromedial approach (27).

Among other complications, an iatrogenic medial meniscus tear may occur from repeated attempts at suturing the meniscus with a curved hook, rendering suture impossible. Also, to our knowledge no popliteal artery, common peroneal and tibial nerve lesions has been reported, however they are at risk of damage during creation of the posterior portals. These complications may be avoided by placing the posterior portals with knee in 90 degrees of flexion. This moves the neurovascular structures posteriorly, away from the posteromedial portal site. Also, the Guillquist maneuver that provides trans-illumination may help visualize the course of the superficial veins and the accompanying nerves thus preventing inadvertent damage (35). Our series (46) also has a low complication rate with only two cases of hemorrhrosis postoperatively. Also, no patient developed a neuroma around the location of the posteromedial approach, although it was difficult to accurately determine the incidence of saphenous nerve lesions due to the posteromedial approach as the hamstring tendon harvesting can also cause hypoesthesia in the different territories of the saphenous nerve.

**Discussion**

The forces acting on the MM increase by as much as 200% after an ACL injury. Furthermore, forces acting on the ACL replacement graft increase by 33% to 50% after a medial meniscectomy (12,28). Deficiency of the medial meniscus has therefore been proposed as a secondary cause of ACL failure. It has thus been recommended that an ACL-deficient knee be reconstructed to protect the menisci (39,50). Conversely, identification and repair of a ramp lesion during an ACL reconstruction is imperative to reduce the risk of secondary graft failures, as these lesions may increase the anterior tibial translation (2,12, 30) and subsequently the strain on the graft.

The success rates for meniscal repairs have been reported to be from 70% to 90% in vascular regions (11,13,16,36). Ahn et al (12) reported a clinical successful healing rate of 96.4% in PHMM repairs with concomitant ACL reconstruction. Tenuta and Arciero (45) reported higher healing rates in concomitant ACL reconstruction than for isolated repairs (90% vs 57%). Meniscal repair in conjunction with ACL reconstruction has been reported to create a favorable environment for meniscal healing because of fibrin clot formation associated with intra-articular bleeding generated during ACL reconstruction (44).

Multiple techniques for suturing the meniscus are available. The indications, advantages and disadvantages of each are mentioned in (Table.2). The all-inside suture repair technique using a hook is especially useful in a ramp lesion, as the use of newer devices makes the repair procedure blind and placing a suture in the vertical configuration is technically challenging. In addition Choi et al reported that the use of meniscal devices failed to provide sufficient strength of fixation. They recommended that during suturing, the posteromedial capsule should be elevated and approximated to the PHMM to ensure precise approximation of tear site (10).

In spite of the development of the newer all-inside suture devices, the failure rate of the repair of PHMM tears continues to remain high, (19) which may be attributed to various factors that include inadequate visualization and debridement of the lesions of the PHMM; failure to confirm the reduction of the lesion with the all inside technique (48) and tissue failure due suture pullout through the meniscal tissue (45). The mechanical strength of the vertical suture is greater than that of the horizontal suture (45). Having said that, most meniscal fixators cannot facilitate meniscal repair in a vertical mattress fashion (7,34) especially in the posteromedial corner of the medial meniscus, small or tight knee joints. Sutures spaced at every 3 to 5 mm have been recommended however; the optimal number is unknown (44).

Pujol et al (33) using meniscal devices reported an overall healing rate of 73.1%. van Trommel et al (47) reported similar results (76%) with the outside-in technique. (Table. 3) Both studies observed a strong trend toward a relatively lower healing rate of the posterior horn (Zone A), as compared with the body (Zone B). (Table 4) They also observed that, partial healing in all tears extending from the posterior to the middle third of the medial meniscus. We observed similar results in our study with a higher failure rate in the extended tear subgroup (6/51) (46). Pujol et al (33) attributed this to the difficulty in performing an adequate abrasion of the posterior segment using standard anterior arthroscopic portals whereas van Trommel et al (47) attributed that same to the relatively anterior placement of the needles with the outside-in technique, making a perpendicular repair extremely difficult. This resulted in a decrease in the coaptation force of the sutures. In addition, they observed that an oblique suture placement in the posterior zone with the outside-in technique made the sutures enter more anterior than they exit. Ahn et al (5) postulated that a torn posterior menisco-capular structure moved inferiorly against

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Complete(%)</th>
<th>Partial(%)</th>
<th>Failed(%)</th>
<th>Overall(%)</th>
<th>Success Rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ahn et al (4)</td>
<td>83.4</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>96.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pujol et al(31)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van Trommel et al(47)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4:** Comparing meniscal healing depending on location of lesion: zone a comprises the posterior horn and zone b comprises the body of the meniscus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone A</th>
<th>Zone B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pujol et al (33)</td>
<td>59.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van Trommel et al (47)</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the remaining meniscus, displacing the tear during knee flexion. They suggested that this motion of the torn medial meniscus can partially explain the slow healing observed in MMPH peripheral rim tears despite a rich vascular supply to the red-red zone. Pujol et al. (31) in 2011 reported a secondary meniscectomy rate of 12.5%. The authors observed that the volume of meniscus removed decreased in 35% of cases, with respect to the initial tear and noted that a secondary meniscectomy following repair can partially save the meniscus and the failure called a “partial” failure. They recommended that suturing a tear therefore preserved the meniscal volume in a subsequent meniscectomy performed for a failure of repair or repeat tears. Tachibana et al (44) reported newly formed meniscal tears occurring in an area different from the initial repair site, on the surface of 34.5% of the healed and incompletely healed menisci. These new injuries were 1 to 3 mm in length partial- or full-thickness lesions and located central to the peripheral repair. In our series (46), the high rate of recurrent tear was as a result of newly formed tears that were confirmed on the surface of 5 menisci. It is conceivable that these injuries were attributable to a residual cleft left by the path of the Suture Lasso and maintained by the use of a strong no. 2 non-absorbable suture. These clefts on the avascular meniscal substance may remain in situ without healing and would favor the recurrence of a more centrally located lesion in the white-white zone. Using a small suture hook device may therefore be desirable as it may reduce the size of the clefts created during suturing. In addition, the ‘cheese wiring effect’ due to the higher co-efficient of friction of a non-absorbable suture may contribute to a failure. We therefore decided to change our suture from a strong non-absorbable suture to a number 0 or 1 PDS suture, which are recommended to reduce the risk of these newly formed injury (37).

Neppe et al (26) observed that the time between injury and repair was the most important factor influencing healing. The zone of tear in reference to blood supply is another major factor affecting the results of a meniscal repair and ramp lesions in the red-red zone are expected to heal more readily than are those in the red-white zone (20).

The criteria for healing based on follow-up arthro-CT corresponding to thickness of healing was suggested by Henning et al (36) can supplement clinical evaluation to improve diagnostic accuracy (Figure 3). The clinical failure rate in a systematic review ranged from 0% to 43.5%, with a mean failure rate of 15% (22). Failures after two years represented nearly 30% (26). Although numerous studies have reported short-term outcomes of various techniques of meniscal repair, relatively few have reported medium to long-term outcomes. The rate of meniscal repair failure appears to increase from short-term follow-up to medium to long-term follow-up regardless of the technique (26). There are limited numbers of studies assessing the outcomes of meniscal repair using the PM approach (4,5,10,46). Further prospective analysis with long-term follow-up is required to validate the promising early results of meniscal repairs performed with this approach. Finally, improved visualization is the key to achieving good meniscal repair results as it improves diagnosis of longitudinal tears in posterior horn of medial meniscus (30), safeguards better debridement prior to repair and ensures good approximation of the torn ends under vision (1). It is thus important to perform a systematic exploration of the knee during an ACL reconstruction (Figure 1). A transnotch visualization combined with palpation of the meniscus with a needle or probe through the posteromedial portal aids in diagnosis of ramp lesions, which may otherwise be missed. Hidden lesions furthermore may be either very peripheral, covered by a layer of synovial or scar tissue or may not be reachable with a probe. It is therefore essential to identify these lesions during an ACL reconstruction and repair them whenever they are found to be unstable (40).

Conclusions

A systematic posteromedial exploration allows discovery of and debridement of the hidden MM lesion and repair with a suture hook device is associated with low morbidity. Failure Rates following a ramp lesion repair are low and occurs during the first 20 months. Even if a failure occurs the subsequent meniscectomy is limited and the volume of meniscal tissue debrided is reduced. An arthroscopic repair of meniscal ramp lesions should therefore be undertaken whenever possible.
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